

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/810,580	KAWASE, MASAHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paresh Patel	2829	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Paresh Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Michael K.O' Neill.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 August 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

W. E. DU VALL (US 3056922)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After reviewing the remarks of 07/14/2005, by Mr. O'Neill, Examiner called Mr. O'Neill to discuss above claims in view of above reference. Mr. O'Neill, later on 08/31/2005 agreed to amend independent claims 1 and 8 to include limitations of claim 3 for possible allowance of the instant application. .